UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE AT KNOXVILLE

ROBERT T. STOOKSBURY, JR.,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	No. 3:09-CV-498-TAV-HBG
MICHAEL L. ROSS, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

This case is before the undersigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Rules of this Court, and the referral of the Chief District Judge. The Receiver has filed his Thirty-Fifth Application for Interim Compensation and Expense Reimbursement [Doc. 1540].

Sterling P. Owen, IV, as Receiver, moves the Court to approve payment to the Receiver for the reasonable and necessary services he rendered between April 1 and April 30, 2015, in the amount of \$11,504.91, which represents \$11,418.75 in fees and \$86.16 in direct expenses. The Receiver represents that as of April 30, 2015, the Receiver was in possession of \$479,275.40. The Receiver also maintains a separate rent account, which he represents has a balance of \$189,128.61, as of April 30, 2015.

With the instant request, the Receiver has submitted an Invoice of Services rendered in April 2015, detailing the time spent on various tasks in furtherance of the duties established by the Court. [Doc. 1540-1]. He has also submitted invoices for the same period, detailing the out-of-pocket expenses the Receiver incurred in furtherance of these duties. [Doc. 1540-2]. The Court has reviewed the invoices and finds that they are reasonable.

The Receiver's Thirty-Fifth Application was filed May 11, 2015, and his request and the

supporting invoices have been available for review to the parties. No party or interested person has objected to the compensation and expenses submitted. The time for doing so has expired. See, generally, E.D. Tenn. L.R. 7.1. The Court may treat this failure to respond as acquiescence to the relief sought. See E.D. Tenn. L.R. 7.2.

Accordingly, the Court finds that the Receiver's Thirty-Fifth Application [Doc. 1540] is well-taken, and the undersigned **RECOMMENDS**¹ that it be **GRANTED**. The Court finds the compensation and expenses requested by the Receiver are REASONABLE and **RECOMMENDS** that they be **APPROVED**. The undersigned **RECOMMENDS** that the Receiver be directed to DISBURSE: \$11,504.91, requested as compensation for services and reimbursement for expenses for the period from April 1 to April 30, 2015.

Respectfully Submitted,

Bruce Japan United States Magistrate Judge

Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 106 S. Ct. 466 (1985). The district court need not provide de novo review where objections to this report and recommendation are frivolous, conclusive or general. Mira v. Marshall, 806 F.2d 636 (6th Cir. 1986). Only specific objections are reserved for appellate review. Smith

v. Detroit Federation of Teachers, 829 F.2d 1370 (6th Cir. 1987).

¹ Any objections to this Report and Recommendation must be served and filed within fourteen (14) days after service of a copy of this recommended disposition on the objecting party. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2). Such objections must conform to the requirements of Rule 72(b), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Failure to file objections within the time specified waives the right to appeal the District Court's order.